

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/067,174		KNAK ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Gary Hartmann		3671	

All Participants:

(1) Gary Hartmann.

(2) Jennifer C. Bailey.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 May 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to include language similar to that in the specification in order to clarify the "upstanding members," which are shown in the drawings but not named in the specification

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)